

UNITED STATES DEVARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR

HIATT

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

08/486,913

06/07/95

EXAMINER

12M1/0623 LERNER, DAVID, LITTENBERG, KRUMHOLZ, & MENTLIK

600 S. AVENUE WEST WESTFIELD S NJ 07090 ART UNIT AT Y PAPER NUMBER

1211

Ĥ

DATE MAILED:

06/23/97

This is a communication from the examiner in charge of your application.

This application has been examined Responsive to communication filed on 3/24/97 2/97 This action is made filed in 3/24/97 This action is made filed in 3	
Part I THE FOLLOWING ATTACHMENT(S) ARE PART OF THIS ACTION: 1. Notice of References Cited by Examiner, PTO-892. 2. Notice of Draftsman's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-89. 3. Notice of Art Cited by Applicant, PTO-1449. 4. Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152. 5. Information on How to Effect Drawing Changes, PTO-1474. 6. Part II SUMMARY OF ACTION 1. Claims / - 6 are pending in the application of the above, claims are withdrawn from consideration are withdrawn from consideration.	nal.
1. Notice of References Cited by Examiner, PTO-892. 2. Notice of Draftsman's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-9 3. Notice of Art Cited by Applicant, PTO-1449. 4. Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152. 5. Information on How to Effect Drawing Changes, PTO-1474. Part II SUMMARY OF ACTION 1. Claims / - 6 are pending in the application of the above, claims	
3. Notice of Art Cited by Applicant, PTO-1449. 4. Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152. 5. Information on How to Effect Drawing Changes, PTO-1474. 6. Part II SUMMARY OF ACTION 1. Claims / - 6 are pending in the application of the above, claims are withdrawn from consideration are withdrawn from consideration	
1. Claims /- 6 are pending in the applicat Of the above, claims are withdrawn from consideration	48.
Of the above, claims are withdrawn from consideration	
	ion.
2. Claims have been cancelled.	n.
3. Claims 2 - 6 are allowed.	
4. Claims Sepected.	
5. Claims are objected to.	
6. Claims are subject to restriction or election requirement.	
7. This application has been filled with informal drawings under 37 C.F.R. 1.85 which are acceptable for examination purposes.	
8. Formal drawings are required in response to this Office action.	
9. The corrected or substitute drawings have been received on Under 37 C.F.R. 1.84 these drawings are acceptable; not acceptable (see explanation or Notice of Draftsman's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948).	
10. The proposed additional or substitute sheet(s) of drawings, filed on has (have) been approved by the examiner; addisapproved by the examiner (see explanation).	
11. The proposed drawing correction, filed, has been approved; disapproved (see explanation).	
12. Acknowledgement is made of the claim for priority under 35 U.S.C. 119. The certified copy has been received not been received been filled in parent application, serial no; filled on	∍d
13. Since this application apppears to be in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.	
14. Other	
08/486913	

Art Unit: 1211

1. Claims 1-6 are pending in this application.

- 2. The rejection of claim 4 under 35 USC 112, second paragraph, is moot in view of the amendment to claim 4 received March 24, 1997.
- 3. A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

Claim 1 is provisionally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claim 1 of copending Application No. 08/486535. This is a <u>provisional</u> double patenting rejection since the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Further, applicants have stated at page 4, first paragraph, of the amendment received March 24, 1997: "Next, claim 1 was provisionally rejected under 35 USC 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claim 1 of co-pending Application Serial No. 08/486,535. The

Serial Number: 08/486913

Art Unit: 1211

identically claimed subject matter is hereby acknowledged." Accordingly, the rejection of claim 1 under the 35 USC 101 statute has been maintained.

Page 3

- 4. The rejection of claim 1 under 35 USC 103 is withdrawn in view of the claim amendment received March 24, 1997.
- 5. Applicant's arguments filed March 24, 1997 have been found persuasive for the rejection of claim 1 under the 35 USC 103 statute. However, the rejection of claim 1 under 35 USC 101 has been maintained for reasons given above.
- 6. Claims 2-6 are allowable over the prior art of record.
- 7. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for response to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the date of this action. In the event a first response is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

Serial Number: 08/486913

Art Unit: 1211

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event will the

statutory period for response expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Louise Leary at telephone

number (703)308-3533.

Page 4

June 19, 1997